Gaudichanolides A and B, Clerodane Diterpenes from **Baccharis** gaudichaudiana

Ken-ichiro Hayashi,[†] Takashi Kanamori,[†] Atsushi Yamazoe,[†] Masashi Yamada,[‡] and Hiroshi Nozaki^{*,†}

Department of Biochemistry, Okayama University of Science, 1-1 Ridai-cho, Okayama City, 700-0005 Japan, and Meiji Dairies Corporation, 2-10, Shinsuna 1-chome, Koto-Ku Tokyo, 136-8908, Japan

Received March 8, 2005

Two new clerodane diterpenoids, gaudichanolides A (1) and B (2), were isolated from the dried twigs of Baccharis gaudichaudiana, together with 7-oxo-16,19-dihydroxy-3,4-dehydroclerodan-15,20-diacid dilactone, spathulenol, 4,10-aromadendranediol, kobusone, trans-cosanyl ferulate, and defuscin. The structures and relative stereochemistry of 1 and 2 were elucidated by detailed 2D NMR spectroscopic experiments, and the absolute stereochemistry of 1 was determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis.

Baccharis is the largest genus in the family Asteraceae, with over 400 species distributed throughout the North and South American continents. Baccharis gaudichaudiana DC. is considered a folk medicine in South America and is used for the treatment of diabetes and gastrointestinal diseases. A previous study of constituents of this species revealed as characteristic metabolites clerodane-type diterpenes,¹ in addition to labdane-type diterpenes,² sweet, bitter, and neutral-tasting labdane-type diterpene glycosides,^{3,4} apigenin, hispidulin, and various other flavonoids, spathulenol, and ursolic acid.²⁻⁵ Interestingly, gaudichaudioside A, a labdane diterpene arabinoside, was isolated as a potential noncaloric sweetener in the early 1990s from this plant.³ In the present study, two new clerodane-type diterpenes, designated as gaudichanolides A (1) and B (2), were isolated from the CH_2Cl_2 extract of the twigs of *B*. gaudichaudiana, together with six known compounds, 7-oxo-16,19-dihydroxy-3,4-dehydroclerodan-15,20-diacid dilactone (3),6,7 spathulenol,8 4,10-aromadendranediol,9 kobusone,¹⁰ trans-cosanyl ferulate,¹¹ and defuscin.¹² The known compounds were identified by comparing their spectroscopic data to literature values. The structures of 1 and 2 were determined by detailed 2D NMR experiments. The absolute stereochemistry of 1 and the relative configuration of 3 were confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis of the p-bromobenzoate of 1 and a reduction product of 3, respectively.

Gaudichanolide A (1) was obtained as a colorless oil with the molecular formula C₂₀H₂₆O₆, which was determined by HREIMS. The IR absorptions at 3483 and 1774 cm^{-1} indicated the presence of hydroxyl and carbonyl groups. respectively. The ¹H NMR spectrum displayed two tertiary methyl signals (δ 0.79 and 1.53), an olefinic signal (δ 6.87), and two oxymethylene signals. The ¹³C NMR and DEPT spectra revealed a total of 20 signals including two methyls, six methylenes, two methines, two oxymethylenes, two olefinic carbons, two ester carbonyls, a ketone carbonyl, and three quaternary carbons. These NMR data together with the molecular formula suggested that 1 has four rings, including two lactone rings in the molecule. A ¹H-¹H COSY NMR experiment established the connectivity from H-10 to H-3 and from H-11 to H-14 and H-16. The presence of a γ -lactone ring (C-13 to C-16) was determined by the longrange couplings in the HMBC NMR spectrum (H-16/C-15

20 10 5 18 19 റ് 1 R = OH3 R = H2 R = Hн ЮH OH 5 4 R = p-bromobenzovl

and H-16/C-14). The linkages of the other γ -lactone and ring junctions (C-5 and C-10) were established by additional HMBC correlations (H-19/C-4, C-6, C-18, H-10/C-5, C-19, and H-3/C-5, C-18), suggesting that the remaining three rings constitute a substituted decalin ring fused with a γ -lactone unit. The carbonyl and two methyl groups were attached to the C-7, C-8, and C-9 positions, respectively, on the basis of HMBC analysis, as shown in Figure 1. Finally, C-11 was positioned at C-9 by analysis of the HMBC spectrum (H-11/C-20, C-10, and C-8), indicating that 1 has the same planar structure as the known clerodane, 8\beta-hydroxy-7-oxo-ent-cleroda-3-en-15,18-diacid-16,19-dilactone.⁷ However, the specific rotation and NMR data of **1** did not agree with those of the latter compound,⁷ confirming that these two compounds are diastereomeric. A NOESY experiment revealed the relative stereochemistry of 1, except for that of C-13, as in Figure 1.

10.1021/np050081i CCC: \$30.25 © 2005 American Chemical Society and American Society of Pharmacognosy Published on Web 07/07/2005

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel: +81(86) 256-0066. Fax: +(86) 256-9559. E-mail: nozaki@dbc.ous.ac.jp. † Okayama University of Science.

^{*} Meiji Dairies Corporation.



Figure 1. HMBC and NOESY correlations of 1.



Figure 2. ORTEP diagrams of 4 and 5. The crystal structure of 5 contains two benzene molecules.

To determine the absolute stereochemistry of 1, a *p*bromobenzoyl derivative was prepared. Compound 1 was oxidized with OsO_4 to afford a diol and then treated with *p*-bromobenzoyl chloride. The resultant *p*-bromobenzonate 4 was crystallized, and its structure was determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis, with the anomalous scattering factor correction for the brominated atom introduced to establish the absolute configuration of 4.¹³ An ORTEP diagram of 4 is shown in Figure 2. Accordingly, the absolute structure of 1 was determined as shown.

Gaudichanolide B (2) was isolated as a white powder and gave a molecular ion at m/z 346.1789 [M]⁺ in the HREIMS, attributable to a molecular formula of C₂₀H₂₆O₅. The IR spectrum showed an absorption for a carbonyl group at 1775 cm⁻¹. The NMR spectra of **2** were very similar to those of **1**, suggesting **2** is also a clerodane-type diterpene. A comparison of the NMR data between **1** and **2** revealed the appearance of an additional methine signal and a secondary methyl signal, with no hydroxylated quaternary carbon signals, indicating that **2** is a dehydroxylated derivative of **1** at C-8. This was also supported by the lack of IR absorption attributable to a hydroxyl group and the molecular formula. Analysis of the ¹H-¹H COSY and HMBC spectra of this compound afforded the same correlations as in **1**. The NOESY spectrum of **2** displayed almost the same correlations as in **1**, except for the correlation between H-8 and H-20. These results suggested **2** is the epimer of **3** at C-8. However, the stereochemistry of C-13 (γ -lactone) could not be determined by the spectroscopic methods used.

The agreement of chemical shifts of the γ -lactone (C-13 to C-16) moieties among 1-3 strongly implied that the stereochemistry of the γ -lactone in both **2** and **3** is the same as in 1. The stereochemistry of C-13 in 3 has not been reported previously. Therefore, **3** was reduced with NaBH₄ to give a 7α -hydroxy product (5), which yielded a single crystal for X-ray crystallographic analysis. The X-ray analysis of **5** indicated that the stereochemistry of C-13 in 3 was the same as that in 1 (Figure 2). However, the stereochemistry of C-13 in 2 could not be determined since it was not possible to obtain an appropriate crystal for X-ray analysis. From the NMR signals of the γ -lactone unit (C-12 to C-16, for **2**: $\delta_{\rm C}$ 26.9, 36.6, 34.5, 177.0, 72.9; for **3**: $\delta_{\rm C}$ 26.8, 35.9, 34.5, 176.3, 72.9) and on biogenetic grounds, H-13 in **2** should be in the same orientation as found in **1** and **3**.

Several clerodane diterpenes from *Baccharis* species have been reported as antifeedants, and some have a bitter taste apparently related to this activity. It is interesting to note that compounds 1 and 2 also have a bitter taste.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Melting points were measured on a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured with a Horiba SEPT-200 polarimeter. IR spectra were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 1720 FT-IR spectrometer (CHCl₃ solution). NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker ARX400 and JEOL Lambda 500 NMR spectrometers with TMS as internal standard. Mass spectra were obtained on a JEOL JMS-700 spectrometer. Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh, Merck) or on Sephadex LH-20 (Amersham Biosciences), and TLC was performed using silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ plates (Merck). X-ray crystallographic analysis was carried out on a Rigaku AFC-7R four-circle diffractometer.

Plant Material. *Baccharis gaudichaudiana* DC. was collected in the state of Sao Paulo, Brazil, in September 1997 and identified by Goro Hashimoto at the Centro de Pesquisas de Historia Natural (Sao Paulo, Brazil). A voucher specimen (No. OUS-1103) is deposited in the herbarium of Okayama University of Science.

Extraction and Isolation. Air-dried twigs of *B. gaudichaudiana* (1030 g) were extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (4 times with 2 L of solvent, each 7 days) at room temperature, and the organic layer was concentrated in vacuo to give a CH_2Cl_2 -soluble extract (49.2 g). The extract was subjected to silica gel column chromatography with a $CHCl_3$ -MeOH gradient solvent system (98:2 \rightarrow 1:1). Eleven fractions (fractions A–K) were obtained. Fraction D (0.94 g) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography and eluted with *n*-hexane-acetone (7:1 \rightarrow 5:1), to give 12 fractions (D1–D12). Fraction D4 (519 mg) was purified by silica gel column chromatography (CHCl₃-EtOAc, 9:1 \rightarrow 1:1) to afford spathulenol (56 mg), defuscin (15 mg), and *trans*-cosanyl ferulate (13 mg). Fraction E (1.28 g) was applied to silica gel column chromatography and eluted

with *n*-hexane-acetone $(7:1 \rightarrow 5:1)$ to give 10 fractions (E1-E10). Fraction E3 (236 mg) was then subjected to silica gel column chromatography, via elution with CHCl3-MeOH (95:5 6:4), to afford kobusone (26 mg) and 4,10-aromadendranediol (9 mg). Fraction H (8.0 g) was separated by silica gel column chromatography, eluting with CHCl3-EtOAc solvent system (98:2 \rightarrow 8:2), to afford four fractions (H1–H4). Fraction H1 (eluted with 5% EtOAc, 4.8 g) was applied on a silica gel column and eluted with n-hexane-acetone (8:1) to give 3 (3.32 g). Fraction H2 (eluted with 8% EtOAc, 326 mg) was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 column chromatography, developed with CHCl₃-MeOH (9:1), to afford 1 (129 mg). Compound 2 (60 mg) was isolated from fraction H3 (115.4 mg) by silica gel column chromatography, eluting with CHCl₃acetone $(8:2 \rightarrow 6:4)$.

Gaudichanolide A (1): colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 124.0^\circ$ (c 0.2, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃) v_{max} 3483, 3026, 1774 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_5D_5N) δ 6.87 (1H, dd, J = 7.0, 2.4 Hz, H-3), 4.41 (1H, t, J = 8.6 Hz, H-16a), 4.20 (1H, d, J = 8.3 Hz, H-19a), 4.05 (1H, d, J = 8.3 Hz, H-19b), 3.96 (1H, t, J = 8.6 Hz, H-16b),2.85 (2H, s, H-6), 2.71 (1H, dd, J = 16.7, 7.9 Hz, H-14a), 2.49 (1H, m, H-10), 2.42 (1H, m, H-13), 2.31 (1H, dd, J = 16.7, 8.6 Hz, H-14b), 2.17 (2H, m, H-2), 1.97 (1H, m, H-12a), 1.83 (1H, m, H-1a), 1.68 (1H, m, H-12b), 1.61 (2H, m, H-11), 1.53 (3H, s, CH₃-17), 1.01 (1H, m, H-1b), 0.79 (3H, s, CH₃-20); $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, C₅D₅N) δ 211.4 (C, C-7), 177.3 (C, C-15), 168.0 (C, C-18), 137.8 (CH, C-3), 136.1 (C, C-4), 82.7 (C, C-8), 73.3 (CH₂, C-16), 71.3 (CH₂, C-19), 48.6 (CH, C-10), 48.2 (C, C-5), 47.1 (C, C-9), 46.6 (CH₂, C-6), 37.1 (CH, C-13), 35.3 (CH₂, C-11), 34.6 (CH₂, C-14), 28.8 (CH₂, C-12), 27.6 (CH₂, C-2), 22.9 (CH₃, C-17), 20.7 (CH2, C-1), 16.0 (CH3, C-20); HREIMS m/z 362.1737 (calcd for $C_{20}H_{26}O_6$, 362.1745) [M]⁺.

Gaudichanolide B (2): amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 125.0^\circ$ (c 0.2, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃) $\nu_{\rm max}$ 3025, 1775 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₅D₅N) δ 6.87 (1H, dd, J = 7.4, 2.0 Hz, H-3), 4.74 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-19a), 4.33 (2H, m, H-16a, H-19b), 3.81 (1H, t, J= 8.0 Hz, H-16b), 2.87 (1H, d, J= 17.5 Hz, H-6a), 2.66 (2H, m, H-8, H-14a), 2.33 (2H, m, H-6b, H-13), 2.23 (2H, m, H-2a, H-14b), 2.07 (2H, m, H-2b, H-10), 1.60 (1H, m, H-1a), 1.29 (4H, m, H-11, H-12), 1.13 (3H, d, J = 6.7 Hz, CH₃-17), 1.09 (1H, m, H-1b), 0.88 (3H, s, CH₃-20); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, $C_5 D_5 N) \ \delta \ 211.0 \ (C, \ C\text{-}7), \ 177.0 \ (C, \ C\text{-}15), \ 168.0 \ (C, \ C\text{-}18), \ 136.6 \ (C, \ C\text{-}18), \ C\text{-}18)$ (CH, C-3), 136.5 (C, C-4), 72.9 (CH₂, C-16, C-19), 52.4 (CH, C-8), 49.0 (CH₂, C-6), 45.8 (CH, C-10), 45.2 (C, C-5), 40.5 (C, C-9), 36.6 (CH, C-13), 35.0 (CH₂, C-11), 34.5 (CH₂, C-14), 27.7 (CH₂, C-2), 26.9 (CH₂, C-12), 24.3 (CH₃, C-20), 20.9 (CH₃, C-1), 9.3 (CH₃, C-17); HREIMS m/z 346.1789 (calcd for C₂₀H₂₆O₅, 362.1781) [M]+

7-Oxo-16,19-dihydroxy-3,4-dehydroclerodan-15,20-diacid dilactone (3): colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 134.4^\circ$ (c 1.1, CHCl₃) [lit. -128.8° (c 1.2, CHCl₃); -147° (c 0.1, CHCl₃)];^{6,7} IR (CHCl₃) $\nu_{\rm max}$ 3022, 1775 cm⁻¹; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 209.0 (C, C-7), 176.3 (C, C-15), 167.8 (C, C-18), 137.0 (CH, C-3), 136.2 (C, C-4), 72.9 (CH₂, C-16), 71.0 (CH₂, C-19), 51.3 (CH, C-8), 50.5 (CH₂, C-6), 48.0 (C, C-5), 47.8 (CH, C-10), 43.6 (C, C-9), 35.9 (CH, C-13), 35.7 (CH₂, C-11), 34.5 (CH₂, C-14), 27.3 (CH₂, C-2), 26.8 (CH₂, C-12), 20.4 (CH₂, C-1), 19.0 (CH₃, C-20), 7.8 (CH₃, C-17); EIMS m/z 346 [M]⁺.

Preparation of p-Bromobenzoyl Derivative of 1. Compound 1 (59 mg) was dissolved in 2 mL of THF-H₂O (2:1), and OsO₄ (0.1 equiv; 4.2 mg) and N-methylmorpholine N-oxide (5 equiv; 97 mg) were added into this solution. The solution was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The resulting diol was extracted with CHCl₃ and further purified by silica gel column chromatography to give a diol form of 1 as a colorless oil (yield 53%); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ -48.0° (c 0.1, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃) ν_{max} 3519, 1777, 1712 cm^-1; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.45 (1H, dd, J = 8.9, 7.4 Hz, H-16a), 4.26 (1H, d, J = 9.8 Hz, H-19a), 3.97 (2H, m, H-16b, H-19b), 3.86 (1H, dd, J = 12.0, 4.9 Hz, H-3), 3.15 (1H, dd, J = 13.5, 1.4 Hz, H-6a), 2.64 (1H, dd, J = 17.2, 8.3 Hz, H-14a), 2.45 (1H, m, H-13), 2.27 (1H, d, J = 13.5 Hz, H-6b), 2.20 (1H, dd, J = 17.2, 8.2 Hz, H-14b), 2.11 (1H, m, H-10), 2.03 (1H, m, H-12a), 1.83 (2H, m, H-1a, H-2a), 1.71 (1H, m, H-2b), 1.56 (1H, m, H-12b), 1.46 (3H, m, H-1b, H-11), 1.40 (3H, s, CH₃-17), 0.60 (3H, s, CH₃-20); HREIMS m/z

396.1783 (calcd for C₂₀H₂₈O₈, 396.1784) [M]⁺. The diol form of 1 (30 mg) was treated with *p*-bromobenzoyl chloride (3 equiv; 50 mg) and 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (3 equiv; 28 mg) in 1 mL of pyridine for 12 h at room temperature. N,N-Dimethyl-1,3-propanediamine (3 equiv; $29 \,\mu$ L) was added to the reaction mixture and left for 30 min. The product was extracted with CHCl₃ and purified by silica gel column chromatography to give the *p*-bromobenzoate **4** as colorless prisms (yield 18%): mp >280 °C (dec); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ -8.0° (c 0.05, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃) ν_{max} 3734, 1772, 1717 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.87 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, aromatic protons), 7.60 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, aromatic protons), 5.24 (1H, dd, J = 12.3, 4.6 Hz, H-3), 4.45 (2H, m, H-16b, H-19b), 4.00 (2H, m, H-16a, H-19a), 3.18 (1H, m, H-6), 2.66 (1H, dd, J = 17.2, 8.2 Hz, H-14a), 2.47 (1H, d, J = 13.0 Hz), 1.43 (3H, s, CH₃-17), 0.65 (3H, s, CH₃-20); HRFABMS m/z 579.1209 (calcd for C₂₇H₃₂O₉Br, 579.1230) [M $+ H^{+}$

Reduction of 3. Compound 3 (220 mg) was reduced with NaBH₄ (25 mg) in MeOH and purified by silica gel column chromatography to afford 5 (yield 30%) as colorless prisms (benzene); mp 84-85 °C; [α]_D²⁰ -103.0° (*c* 0.2, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃) ν_{max} 3530, 1773, 1716, 1663 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 6.71 (1H, dd, J = 7.3, 1.8 Hz, H-3), 5.28 (1H, d, J =7.6 Hz, H-19a), 4.45 (1H, dd, J = 8.9, 7.5 Hz, H-16a), 4.13 (1H, m, H-7), 3.92 (2H, m, H-16b, H-19b), 2.68 (1H, dd, J = 17.2, 2.3 Hz, H-14a), 2.49 (1H, m, H-13), 2.33 (2H, m, H-2a, H-6a), 2.17 (2H, m, H-2b, H-14b), 1.63 (3H, m, H-6b, H-8, H-10), 1.32 (6H, m, H-1, H-11, H-12), 1.03 (3H, d, J = 7.1 Hz, CH₃-17), 0.85 (3H, s, CH₃-20); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.7 (C, C-15), 169.8 (C, C-18), 139.1 (C, C-4), 134.9 (CH, C-3), 73.2 (CH₂, C-16), 72.6 (CH₂, C-19), 72.4 (CH, C-7), 48.2 (CH, C-10), 44.8 (C, C-5), 40.3 (CH and CH₂, C-6 and C-8), 38.3 (C, C-9), 36.2 (CH₂, C-11), 36.1 (CH, C-13), 34.6 (CH₂, C-14), 27.6 (CH₂, C-2), 26.5 (CH₂, C-12), 19.3 (CH₂, C-1), 19.2 (CH₃, C-20), 11.9 (CH₃, C-17); HREIMS *m/z* 348.1923 (calcd for C₂₀H₂₈O₅, 348.1937) [M]+.

X-ray Crystal Structure Determination of 4 and 5. Crystal data of 4: C₂₇H₃₁O₉Br, MW 579.45, orthorhombic, space group $P2_12_12_1$, a = 18.652(2) Å, b = 20.817(2) Å, c =6.573(1) Å, V = 2552.1(6) Å³, $D_x = 1.508$ g/cm³, Z = 4. Crystal data of 5: C₂₁H₃₀O₅, MW 362.46, monoclinic, space group P2₁, a = 12.003(1) Å, b = 10.310(1) Å, c = 18.742(1) Å, $\beta = 90.15$ -(1), V = 2319.3(3) Å³, $D_x = 1.038$ g/cm³, Z = 4. A total of 2246 reflections were obtained for 4 (3884 for 5), of which 22 245 (3689 for 5) were unique $(R_{\rm int}=136.920$ for 4, $R_{\rm int}=0.089$ for 5), and were measured by a Rigaku AFC-7R diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54178$ Å). The crystal structures were solved by direct methods using the program teXsan, a crystallographic software package of Molecular Structure Corporation.¹³ All atoms except hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically by full-matrix least-squares methods on F^2 using the above software package to give a final *R*-factor of 0.050 (R_w 0.048 for all data) for 4 and 0.053 (R_w 0.046 for all data) for 5, respectively, with a data-to-parameter ratio of 4.46 for 4 and 5.86 for 5^{14} At the last stage, the anomalous scattering factor correction for the bromine atom of 4 was introduced into the structure-factor calculations to establish the absolute configuration of 4 (R = 0.050 for the drawing model, R = 0.054 for the inverted configuration).¹⁵

References and Notes

- (1) Merritt, A. T.; Ley, S. V. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1992, 9, 243-287.
- (2) Akaike, S.; Sumino, M.; Sekine, T.; Seo, S.; Kimura, N.; Ikegami, F. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2003, 51, 197-199.
- Fullas, F.; Hussain, R. A.; Bordas, E.; Pezzuto, J. M.; Soejarto, D. D.; Kinghorn, A. D. Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 8515-8522
- (4) Fullas, F.; Soejarto, D. D.; Kinghorn, A. D. Phytochemistry 1992, 31, 2543 - 2545
- (5) Fullas, F.; Hussain, R. A.; Chai, H.-B.; Pezzuto, J. M.; Soejarto, D. D.; Kinghorn, A. D. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1994**, *57*, 801–807.
 (6) Kuroyanagi, M.; Fujita, K.; Kazaoka, M.; Matsumoto, S.; Ueno, A.; Fukushima, S.; Katsuoka, M. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1985**, *33*, 5075– 5078.
- (7) Dai, J.; Suttisri, R.; Bordas, E.; Soejarto, D. D.; Kinghorn, A. D. Phytochemistry 1993, 34, 1087-1090.
- (8) Juell, S. M.-K.; Hansen, R.; Jork, H. Archiv Pharm. 1976, 309, 458-466

- (9) Wu, T.-S.; Chan, Y.-Y.; Leu, Y.-L. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2000, 48, 357-361.
- (10) Kaiser, R.; Lamparsky, D. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1983, 66, 1843–1849.
 (11) Balde, A. M.; Claeys, M.; Pieters, L. A.; Wray, V.; Vlietinck, A. J. *Phytochemistry* 1991, 30, 1024–1026.
 (12) Talapatra, B.; Das, A. K.; Talapatra, S. K. *Phytochemistry* 1988, 28, 290–292.
 (13) the second of the second structure of the second structur
- (13) teXan: Crystal Structure Analysis Package; Molecular Structure Corporation, 1985 and 1992.

- (14) Crystallographic data of compounds 4 and 5 have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre under the reference numbers CCDC 273298 for 4 and CCDC 273299 for 5. Copies of the data can be obtained, free of charge, on application to the Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB21EZ, UK (e-mail: deposit@
- ccdc.cam.ac.uk). (15) Hamilton, W. C. Acta Crystallogr. **1965**, *18*, 502–510.

NP050081I